1	1		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		S DISTRICT COLUMN	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9		EATTLE	
10	ARLEN LEE LOPEZ,)		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C08-992-RSL-BAT	
12	v.		
13)	ODDED CTDIVING DI AINTIEE'C	
14 15)	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME	
16			
17	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's motion to extend time. The Court,		
	having reviewed plaintiff's motion, and the balance of the record, does hereby find and ORDER		
18	as follows:		
19	(1) Plaintiff's motion to extend time (Dkt. No. 24) is STRICKEN. Plaintiff, by way of		
20	the instant motion, appears to be seeking additional time to file a response to defendants' answer		
21	to his amended civil rights complaint. However, a response to an answer to a civil complaint is		
22	not a proper pleading and is only permitted when specifically ordered by the Court. See Fed. R.		
23	Civ. P. 7(a). The Court sees no need for such a pleading in this action. Accordingly, plaintiff's		
24	request for additional time to file a response is i	request for additional time to file a response is moot.	
25	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S		
26	6 MOTION TO EXTEND TIME - 1		

(2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik. DATED this 31st day of March, 2009. BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA United States Magistrate Judge ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME - 2